

United States Paient and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/751,979 | 12/29/2000 | Atsushi Nishizawa | NECW 18.159 | 9046 |
| 75 | 90 07/08/2002 | | | |
| HELFGOTT & KARAS, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 60th Floor Empire State Building | | | GOUDREAU, GEORGE A | |
| New York, NY | 10118-0110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1763 | 5 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002 | |
| }: | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Application No. 979 Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | Examiner GroupArt Unit | | | | |
| | George Goudreau 1763 | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of | on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— | | | | |
| Period for Reply | 2000 | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | EXPIRE 30 days FROM THE MAILING DATE | | | | |
| from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut | | | | | |
| Status | 11 (601)(60 | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on (12-0 | 0' to 6-02') (ie, - papers # 1-4) | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| M Claim(s) 1-12 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| X Claim(s) 1-12 | are subject to restriction or election | | | | |
| Application Papers | re <u>quirem</u> ent | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | •• | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). | | | | |
| All □ Some* □ None of the: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents i | | | | | |
| in this national stage application from the International E | • | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | | | | | |
| □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | □ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152 | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | □ Other | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _5____

Application/Control Number: 09/751,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

15. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a method for making a semiconductor device, classified in

class 438, subclass 689 (+).

II. Claims 11-12, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257,

subclass 1 (+).

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as one in which a different

etch process with different etch gasses than those which are claimed by the applicant is used in the

fabrication of the device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Should the applicant elect the method claims for prosecution on the merits, further

election of species is required as detailed below:

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-10 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species

comprising the following:

1.) use CF4 etch gas (i.e.-claims 4, 7); and

Application/Control Number: 09/751,979 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

2.) use C2F6 etch gas (i.e.-claims 5, 8)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to attorney Michael I. Markowitz on 7-5-02' to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,979 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

Primary Examiner

AU 1763